

Fe-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative C—CN Bond Cleavage of Arylacetonitriles Leading to Various Esters

Weiguang Kong,[†] Bingnan Li,[†] Xuezhao Xu,[†] and Qiuling Song^{*,†,‡}

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Fe-catalyzed aerobic oxidative esterifications of arylacetonitriles with alcohols, tri alkoxsilanes, silicate esters, or borate esters have been developed. The acyl groups which were in situ generated via chemoselective C(CO)-CN bond cleavage were directly used as electrophiles, leading to corresponding aryl esters in good to excellent yields under

molecular oxygen when attacked by alcohols or alcohol surrogates. Dioxygen serves as both oxidant and reactant in this protocol. The reaction has a very broad substrate scope. Cheap starting materials as well as environmentally benign and inexpensive iron catalyst and ideal oxidant O_2 feature this transformation and make it a practical and sustainable protocol to afford esters.

■ INTRODUCTION

Despite the high bond dissociation energy of C-CN bond (>100 kcal/mol), direct cleavage of a C-CN bond has still attracted great attention and becomes an appealing area in modern synthetic chemistry due to its enormous potential in construction of complex moleculars.¹ Numerous transition metals, such as Ni, 2 Pd, 3 Rh, 4 and Cu⁵ have been extensively explored to either mediate or catalyze this transformation. Oxidative addition mechanism has successfully explained a vast majority of reported C-CN bond cleavage reactions. However, to the best of our knowledge, only a few examples reported the C-CN bond cleavage not via oxidative addition mechanism. As one of the most abundant and inexpensive transition-metal salts, Fe salts are used as a catalyst for the transformations which possess both industrial significance and academic importance.8 The -COOR moiety is a key structural motif in both natural and synthetic organic compounds, therefore various methods have been developed for the construction of esters, including the acylation of alcohols and phenols. However, long duration and harsh reaction conditions (strong basic or acidic environment and anhydrous conditions) are needed when anhydrides or acyl chlorides were employed, which extremely limit the functional groups tolerability, especially for phenols. 10 We envisioned that phenylacetonitrile has a great chance to be a cheap and readily available starting material for the synthesis of esters since the CN group is detachable via a chemoselective cleavage of C(CO)-CN bond. For instance, Yu and his co-workers first reported a novel C-CN bond cleavage reaction in arylacetonitriles to afford aryl carboxylic esters by using Samarium as catalyst in one-pot process. 11 After that, iodine was used as a catalyst for this conversion reported later by the same authors as part of their continuation of these studies. 12 However, their transformations are greatly restricted due to the dependence on

strong electron-withdrawing substituents on the aromatic rings (such as the nitro group) (Scheme 1a). Therefore, it still needs a great breakthrough to establish a general, simple, and efficient method by using a variety of arylacetonitriles to lead to various esters.

As our interest in transition-metal-catalyzed aerobic oxidation reaction continues, ¹³ herein we would like to report an Fecatalyzed aerobic oxidative esterification of arylacetonitriles with alcohols or silicate esters and boric acid esters as alcohols surrogates. Esters were formed with molecular oxygen as the

Scheme 1. Ester Formation via Oxidative Decyanation of Arylacetonitriles

Previous work

(a) X = H, *p*-Cl, *p*-nitro, *m*-nitro; R = alkyl, yield: 57-78%, 14 examples (b) X = H, *p*-Cl, *p*-nitro, *m*-nitro; R = alkyl, yield: 2-92%, 13 examples

This work

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
R'OH & FeBr_3 (10 \text{ mol}\%) \\
R \downarrow \downarrow & Si(OR')_4 \\
+ Si(OR')_3H \\
B(OR')_3
\end{array}$$
FeBr₃ (10 mol%)
pyridine
PhCl, O_2

$$\begin{array}{c}
R \downarrow \downarrow \\
\hline
PhCl, $O_2
\end{array}$
(b)$$

R = H, Me, OMe, F, Cl, Br, CF₃, NO₂, CN, acetylene, (het)aryl etc. R'= alkyl, benzyl, phenyl and natural alcohol etc. yield: 46-90%. 54 examples

Received: July 3, 2016
Published: August 24, 2016

[†]Institute of Next Generation Matter Transformation, College of Chemical Engineering, College of Materials Science & Engineering, Huaqiao University, 668 Jimei Blvd, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, P. R. China.

[‡]Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China

terminal oxidant in good to excellent yields with broad substrate scope (Scheme 1b).

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Arylacetonitrile (1a) and *n*-butanol (2a) were selected as substrates in model reactions to probe the feasibility of the reaction between arylacetonitriles and alcohols. Based on our previous research, we initiated our study in the presence of FeCl₃ and pyridine in toluene at 120 °C under O₂. To our delight, the desired product 3aa was formed in 40% yield. Further catalyst screening indicated that FeBr₃ showed the best catalytic reactivity among FeCl₃, Fe(OAc)₂, FeBr₂, FeBr₃, Fe(ClO₄)₃, Fe(OH)₃, and Fe₂O₃ (Table 1, entries 1–4 and

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions^a

	_			catalyst/ligand				
	CN	+	ⁿ BuOH	additive, solvent, temp.) ⁿ Bu
\	<i>-</i> J				O ₂ , 5 h			
	1a		2a				3aa	
entr		catalyst (mol %)		ligand (equiv)		temp (°C)	yield of 3	Baa
1	FeCl ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		toluene	120	40	
2	Fe(O (10		pyridine (0.5)		toluene	120	trace	
3	FeBr ₂	(10)	(10) pyridine (0.5)		toluene	120	28	
4	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		toluene	120	62	
5	FeBr ₃	(10)	thiazole (0.5)		toluene	120	trace	
6	FeBr ₃	(10)	Et_3N (0.5)		toluene	120	trace	
7	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		PhCl	120	75	
8	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		PhCl	100	10	
9	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		PhCl	110	25	
10	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		PhCl	130	98 (90)	
11	FeBr ₃	(5)	pyridine (0.5)		PhCl	130	58	
12 ^c	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridine (0.5)		PhCl	130	trace (N	₂)
13	FeBr ₃	(10)	pyridi (0.5	ne	PhCl	130	10 (air)	
14	_		pyridi (0.5	ne	PhCl	130	trace	
15	FeBr ₃	(10)	_`	•	PhCl	130	12	
an	1	- //		/-			1 . /2	- \

^aConditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (1.5 mmol), catalyst, solvent (2 mL), temp., under O_2 in a sealed tube. ^bGC yield, the yield was listed in the parentheses. ^cUnder N_2 . ^dUnder air.

Table S1 in Supporting Information). When other bases, such as thiazole and Et_3N , were added to the reaction, the desired product was obtained in very low yield (Table 1, entries 5–6, Table S2, Supporting Information), suggesting that pyridine might be irreplaceable for this reaction. The role of pyridine is not clear right now, it might act as ligand involving in the reaction or help the leaving of proton on α -C of benzylnitriles to form the benzoyl cyanide intermediate. Solvents screening indicated that PhCl is the superior choice over toluene, DMF, DMSO, m-xylene, DME, NMP, and dioxane (Table 1, entries 7–8, Table S3, Supporting Information). When temperature was increased to 130 °C, the yield increased from 75% to 98%; however, lowering the temperature to 110 or 100 °C only

dramatically reduced the yields of desired product 3aa (Table 1, entries 7–10). If the reactions were conducted under N_2 and air atmosphere, very low amounts of product were detected (Table 1, entries 12–13). Eventually fine-tuning of catalyst and ligand loadings revealed that the optimized reaction condition for 3aa was: arylacetonitrile 1a (0.5 mmol), alcohol 2a (1.5 mmol), FeBr₃ (10 mol %), pyridine (0.5 equiv), and PhCl (1 mL) at 130 °C under oxygen (Table 1, entry 10).

With the optimal reaction conditions available, the protocol was extended to different arylacetonitriles. The results are shown in Table 2. The aerobic oxidative esterification of

Table 2. Substrate Scope for the Formation of Esters from Arylacetonitriles 1 and *n*-BuOH 2a

"Reaction conditions: arylacetonitrile 1 (0.5 mmol), n-butanol (2a) (1.5 mmol), FeBr $_3$ (10 mol %), pyridine (0.5 equiv), PhCl (1 mL) in O $_2$ in a sealed tube, 130 °C, 9 h. b 5 h.

arylacetonitriles proceeded in good to excellent yields regardless of the electronic nature of the substituents on the aromatic rings: both electron-withdrawing $(-Cl, -Br, -F, -NO_2)$ and electron-donating $(-CH_3, -OCH_3)$ groups at the 4-, 3-, and 2-positions are good substituents for this transformation (Table 2, entries 3ba-3oa). Moreover, a variety of functional groups, such as trifluoromethyl, alkynyl, and cyano groups were all tolerable in this reaction (Table 2, entries 3pa-3ra). In addition, 2-naphthyl was compatible in this reaction as well to give the corresponding product 3sa in 71% yield (Table 2, 3sa).

The scope of alcohols for this transformation was further investigated. As shown in Table 3, both phenylmethanol and 2-phenylethanol were tolerated in this esterification in spite of the types of electronic variations and positions of substituents on the aromatic rings (Table 3, 3ab-3ah). Remarkably, heteroaromatic ethanols, such as 2-thiophene ethanol and 3-thiophene ethanol, also rendered the desired esters in fair to good yields (Table 3, 3ai and 3aj). Significantly, p-substituted phenols and 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenol which have special biological activities were also tolerable under the optimal reaction conditions to afford corresponding products (Table 3, 3al-3an, 3ao), which are the first cases in similar reports. Both primary and secondary aliphatic alcohols, including some

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Table 3. Alcohol Scope for the Formation of Esters from Phenylacetonitrile (1a) and Alcohols 2

^aReaction conditions: 2-phenylacetonitrile (1a) (0.5 mmol), alcohol 2 (1.5 mmol), FeBr₃ (10 mol %), pyridine (0.5 equiv), PhCl (2 mL) in O_2 in a sealed tube, O_2 , 130 °C, 9 h. ^b15 h. ^cPyridine (1.2 equiv), 15 h. ^d24 h. ^e20 h.

natural alcohols, which are susceptible to oxidative conditions, survived well under this reaction system, generating desired products in good yields (Table 3, 3aa, 3ak, 3ap-3ar). Intriguingly, the well-known cholesterol was tolerated in this condition, 3as was afforded in 46% yield in 20 h, further extension of the reaction time did not improve its yield, probably due to the decomposition of cholesterol in the system. The success of cholesterol indicated the feasibility of using this method to achieve late-stage complex compound transformation.

It is worth noting that when MeOH was employed under the standard conditions, only 28% yield of desired product was obtained, suggesting that methyl ester will be a hurdle and limitation for this transformation. In order to find the key to the problem and further verify the compatibility of this system, we investigated other substrates which might be the potential MeOH surrogates, and trimethyl borate 4, tetramethyl silicate 5 and trimethoxysilane 6 caught our attention. To our delight, application of the above protocol to 4, 5, and 6 did not encounter any problems, and the corresponding desired methyl esters were formed in good to excellent yields (Table 4, 3at, 3'at, and 3lt-3'tt). Furthermore, good to excellent yields were also achieved with silicate esters such as tetraethyl orthosilicate and tetrapropoxysilane and borate esters such as triethyl borate and tripropyl borate (Table 4, 3ak, 3'ak, 3au, 3'au). The excellent reactivity of these three types of compounds further demonstrates the strong compatibility of this system.

Gratifyingly, the reaction could be readily scaled up to gram scale (8 mmol) without deteriorating its efficiency (Table 5).

We further performed several control experiments under the standard conditions in order to probe the reaction mechanism of the ester formation. Radical trapping experiments were first conducted by adding 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO) and BHT into the standard conditions of arylacetonitrile (1a) and n-BuOH (2a). As shown in Scheme

Table 4. Substrate Scope for the Formation of Esters from Arylacetonitriles 1 and Borate Esters, Silicate Esters, or Trimethoxysilane

^aReaction conditions: Phenylacetonitrile (1) (0.5 mmol), **4**, **5**, or **6** (1.5 mmol), FeBr₃ (10 mol %), pyridine (0.5 equiv), PhCl (2 mL) in O_2 in a sealed tube, O_2 , 130 °C, 9 h. ^bTriethyl borate and tripropyl borate were used. ^cTetraethyl orthosilicate and tetrapropoxysilane were used.

Table 5. Scale-up Reaction

2, the reaction was totally inhibited by TEMPO; with BHT as a radical trapper, the yield was decreased to 8%. The results

Scheme 2. Control Experiments under the Standard Conditions

suggested that a radical pathway should be involved in this reaction (Scheme 2, eq 1). The reaction between benzoyl cyanide 7 and *n*-BuOH under the standard conditions was also investigated, and the desired product was afforded in 97% yield (Scheme 2, eq 2). Combining with the previous report, this

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism

result demonstrated that benzoyl cyanide 7 might be the key intermediate in the transformation.

To understand the origin of the oxygen in the new carbonyl group of the desired product, isotope-labeled reactions were conducted under standard conditions with ¹⁸O₂, and GCMS and HRMS spectra showed that 93% 16O18O-3aa was obtained (Scheme 2, eq 3, Scheme S1, Supporting Information). With the addition of 3 equiv of H₂O under ¹⁸O₂, 92% of the product contained ¹⁸O (Scheme 2, eq 4). With the addition of 3 equiv of H₂¹⁸O under ¹⁶O₂, 98% of the product contained ¹⁶O (Scheme 2, eq 5). These three isotope-labeling experiments clearly demonstrated that the oxygen was from molecular dioxygen, not from water, since 1 equiv H₂¹⁸O should be obtained under ¹⁸O₂. If the oxygen was from water, unlabeled product ¹⁶O¹⁶O-3aa should be the major one under the ¹⁸O₂/ H_2O (3 equiv) system, since H_2O is three time that of $H_2^{18}O$. In addition, eq 5 suggested that the incorporation of the label was not from the exchange with water since almost no 18O was incorporated into the product under ¹⁶O₂/H₂¹⁸O (3 equiv) system. Therefore, we have reason to believe that the oxygen atom in the new carbonyl group of the desired product was from dioxygen.

On the basis of the control experiments, we proposed a plausible mechanism for the reaction (Scheme 3): arylacetonitrile is oxidized into benzoyl cyanide 7 by oxygen, which is further attacked by alcohols or alcohol surrogates (borate esters, silicate esters, or trimethoxysilane) to lead to intermediate 8, in which the cleavage of C–CN bond occurred under the optimal conditions to generate desired product 3.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, an Fe-catalyzed aerobic oxidative esterification of arylacetonitriles with various alcohols has been disclosed. Complex alcohols are competent candidates in this transformation, and most of the corresponding desired products are obtained in good to excellent yields. When MeOH was used, methyl benzoate is obtained with relatively lower yields, probably due to the low boiling point of MeOH. However, trimethyl borate, tetramethyl silicate, and trimethoxysilane were found to be excellent MeOH surrogates and showed great compatibility in this transformation as well. Other silicate esters or borate esters are also suitable for this reaction. Corresponding esters could be obtained in good to excellent yields with a very broad range of substrate scope. These protocols feature readily accessible starting materials, an inexpensive and abundant catalyst, molecular oxygen as the sole oxidant, and excellent functional group tolerance. Further investigation on synthetic application and the mechanism of this reaction will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General information. All experiments were conducted with a sealed pressure vessel. Flash column chromatography was performed over silica gel (200–300 mesh). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts (in ppm) were referenced to

CDCl $_3$ (δ = 7.26 ppm) as an internal standard. ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by using the same NMR spectrometers and were calibrated with CDCl $_3$ (δ = 77.00 ppm). Unless otherwise noted, materials obtained from commercial suppliers were used without further purification.

Procedure and Characterization Data for Products. Butyl Benzoate (3aa CAS: 136-60-7). A sealed pressure vessel was charged with FeBr₃ (14.0 mg, 0.05 mmol), phenylacetonitrile (58.5 mg, 0.5 mmol), n-butyl alcohol (111.2 mg, 1.5 mmol), pyridine (19.8 mg, 0.25 mmol), and chlorobenzene (1 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 5 h. Upon completion of the reaction, ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added, the green layer was washed with brine (20 mL) twice, and the combined aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) twice. The combine organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvents were removed via rotary evaporator, and the residue was purified with flash chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate:petroleum ether = 60:1) to give 81 mg of the product in 90% yield as a lightyellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.57-7.49 (m, 1H), 7.47-7.40 (m, 2H), 1.75 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.44 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6, 132.7, 130.5, 129.5, 128.2, 64.8, 30.7,

Butyl 2-Fluorobenzoate (**3ba** CAS: 371779-67-8). ¹⁴ The same procedure was used for 2-(2-fluorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 73.4 mg of the product in 75% as a light-yellow solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (m, 1H), 7.53–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.19 (td, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (m, 1H), 4.33 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.74 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.48 (dt, J = 15.0, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.5 (d, J = 3.7 Hz), 161.9 (d, J = 259.8 Hz), 134.3 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 132.0 (d, J = 0.6 Hz), 123.9 (d, J = 3.9 Hz), 119.1 (d, J = 9.9 Hz), 116.9 (d, J = 22.5 Hz), 65.2, 30.7, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 4-Fluorobenzoate (3ca CAS: 3888-64-0). The same procedure was used for 2-(4-fluorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 79.4 mg of the product in 81% as a light-yellow oil liquid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.15–7.84 (m, 2H), 7.14–7.07 (m, 2H), 4.31 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.77–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.48 (dt, J = 9.2, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.7 (s), 165.7 (d, J = 254.5 Hz), 132.0 (d, J = 9.3 Hz), 126.8 (d, J = 3.0 Hz), 115.4 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 65.0, 30.8, 19.3, 13.8.

Butyl 2-Chlorobenzoate (3da CAS: 52468-48-1). ¹⁶ The same procedure was used for 2-(2-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 79.5 mg of the product in 75% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.81 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.30 (m, 1H), 4.34 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.78–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.49 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.9, 133.6, 132.4, 131.3, 131.0, 130.5, 126.5, 65.5, 30.7, 19.3, 13.7.

Butyl 3-Chlorobenzoate (3ea CAS: 63987-54-2). ¹⁴ The same procedure was used for 2-(3-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 84.8 mg of the product in 80% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.01 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95–7.90 (m, 1H), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.76 (dd, J = 14.5, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.50–1.45 (m,

2H), 0.98 (t, I = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

165.5, 134.5, 132.8, 132.5, 129.6, 129.6, 127.6, 65.2, 30.7, 19.2, 13.7. Butyl 4-Chlorobenzoate (**3fa** CAS: 27942-64-9). The same procedure was used for 2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 85.9 mg of the product in 81% as a lightyellow oil liquid. 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02–7.92 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.36 (m, 2H), 4.32 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.75 (dt, J = 14.5, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.51–1.43 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8, 139.2, 130.9, 128.9, 128.6, 65.1, 30.1,

Butyl 3,4-Dichlorobenzoate (3qa CAS: 13050-59-4). 14 The same procedure was used for 2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 94.7 mg of the product in 77% yield as a light-yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.76 (dd, J = 14.6, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.46 (dd, J = 15.0, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.8, 137.4, 132.8, 131.4, 130.5, 130.3, 128.6, 65.5, 30.7, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 3-Bromobenzoate (3ha CAS: 78987-67-4). 17 The same procedure was used for 2-(3-bromophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 104.1 mg of the product in 82% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98–7.95 (m, 1H), 7.67 (m, 1H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.77 - 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.50 - 1.44 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J= 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 165.3, 135.7, 132.5, 132.4, 129.9, 128.1, 122.4, 65.3, 30.7, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 4-Bromobenzoate (3ia CAS 120047-91-8).14 procedure was used for 2-(4-bromophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 5 h. The reaction gave 92.7 mg of the product in 72% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00–7.82 (m, 2H), 7.66-7.49 (m, 2H), 4.32 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.75 (dt, J = 14.5, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.52–1.42 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.95, 131.66, 131.08, 129.42, 127.89, 65.13,

Butyl 2-Methylbenzoate (3ja CAS: 65382-88-9). 18 The same procedure was used for 2-(o-tolyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 73.9 mg of the product in 77% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (m, 1H), 7.26–7.12 (m, 2H), 4.30 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 1.75 (m, 2H), 1.48 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.8, 140.0, 131.8, 131.62, 130.5, 123.0, 125.6, 64.6, 30.8, 21.7, 19.3, 13.8.

Butyl 3-Methylbenzoate (3ka CAS: 6640-77-3). 19 The same procedure was used for 2-(m-tolyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 78 mg of the product in 80% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (dd, J = 5.7, 5.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (m, 2H), 4.32 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.78–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.51-1.45 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.9, 138.1, 133.5, 130.4, 130.0, 128.2, 126.6, 64.8, 30.8, 21.3, 19.3, 13.8.

Butyl 4-Methylbenzoate (3la CAS: 19277-56-6). 14 The same procedure was used for 2-(p-tolyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 5 h. The reaction gave 81.6 mg of the product in 85% as a light-yellow oil solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.75 (m, 2H),1.48 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 166.7, 143.4, 129.5, 128.98, 127.8, 64.6, 30.8, 21.6, 19.3,

Butyl 3-Methoxybenzoate (3ma CAS: 77201-18-4). 19 The same procedure was used for 2-(3-methoxyphenyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 79.1 mg of the product in 76% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.64 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.53 (m, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H),7.11-7.06 (m, 1H), 4.32 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.74 (dd, J= 14.7, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.47 (dt, I = 14.7, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (t, I = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5, 159.5, 131.8, 129.3, 121.9, 119.2, 114.1, 64.9, 55.4, 30.8, 19.3, 13.8.

Butyl 3-Nitrobenzoate (3na CAS: 6268-25-3).²⁰ The same procedure was used for 2-(3-nitrophenyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 80.1 mg of the product in 72% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.99–8.77 (m, 1H), 8.40 (m, 1H), 8.38-8.35 (m, 1H), 7.65 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H)6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.77 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.52 - 1.45 (m, 2H), 0.99 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 0.99 (dd, J = 14.8, 7.0 Hz, 2H)(t, I = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.5, 148.2, 135.2, 132.2, 129.6, 127.2, 124.5, 65.8, 30.6, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 4-Nitrobenzoate (30a CAS 120-48-9).²¹ The same procedure was used for 2-(4-nitrophenyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 83.6 mg of the product in 75% as a light-yellow oil solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35–8.24 (m, 2H), 8.23–8.17 (m, 2H), 4.37 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.81-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.52-1.44 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, I = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.7, 150.4, 135.8, 130.6, 123.5, 65.8, 30.6, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzoate (3pa CAS: 359803-67-1).²² The same procedure was used for 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 92.2 mg of the product in 75% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.15 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (t, J= 6.6 Hz, 2H, 1.77 (m, 2H), 1.48 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, I = 7.4 Hz, 3H).¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4, 134.29 (q, J = 32.6 Hz), 133.71 (d, J = 1.0 Hz), 125.32 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 123.64 (q, J = 272.7Hz), 65.38, 30.66, 19.21, 13.68.

Butyl 4-Ethynylbenzoate (3qa CAS: 137790-57-9).²³ The same procedure was used for 2-(4-ethynylphenyl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 76.8 mg of the product in 76% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02–7.96 (m, 2H), 7.56-7.52 (m, 2H), 4.32 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.22 (s, 1H), 1.76 (dd, J= 14.5, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.47 (dd, J = 15.0, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (dd, J = 8.3, 6.5 Hz, 3H). $^{13}{\rm C\{1H\}}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.0, 132.0, 130.5, 129.4, 126.6, 82.8, 79.9, 65.1, 30.7, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 4-Cyanobenzoate (3ra CAS: 29240-34-4).²⁴ The same procedure was used for 4-(cyanomethyl)benzonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 79.2 mg of the product in 78% as a lightyellow oil solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17–8.07 (m, 2H), 7.78-7.69 (m, 2H), 4.36 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.79-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.48(m, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.0, 134.3, 132.2, 130.0, 118.0, 116.2, 65.6, 30.6, 19.2, 13.7.

Butyl 2-Naphthoate (3sa CAS 3007-89-4).25 The same procedure was used for 2-(naphthalen-2-yl) acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 5 h. The reaction gave 80.9 mg of the product in 71% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 8.6, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, I = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, I = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.61– 7.49 (m, 2H), 4.40 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.57–1.49 (m, 2H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8, 135.5, 132.5, 130.9, 129.3, 128.1, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 126.6, 125.2, 65.0, 30.8, 19.3, 13.8.

Benzyl Benzoate (**3ab** CAS 120-51-4).²⁶ The same procedure was used for benzyl alcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 85.9 mg of the product in 81% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21–8.07 (m, 2H), 7.62–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.36 (m, 7H), 5.41 (s, 2H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 136.0, 133.0, 130.1, 129.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 66.7

3-Methylbenzyl Benzoate (3ac CAS: 38612-03-2).27 The same procedure was used for 3-methylbenzyl alcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 92.4 mg of the product in 83% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.26 (m, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (s, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 138.3, 135.9, 133.0, 130.2, 129.7, 129.0, 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 125.2, 66.7, 21.4.

3-Bromobenzyl Benzoate (3ad CAS: 38612-14-5).²⁸ The same procedure was used for 3-bromobenzyl alcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 125 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 115 mg of the product in 80% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.61–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.46 (dd, J = 14.7, 6.9 Hz, 3H), 7.38 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.25 (m, 1H), 5.33 (s, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 138.3, 133.2, 131.3, 131.0, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 128.4, 126.6, 122.6, 65.7.

2-Chlorobenzyl Benzoate (3ae CAS: 882042-79-7). The same procedure was used for 2-chlorobenzylalcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 88.6 mg of the product in 72% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19–8.05 (m, 2H), 7.63–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.52 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 5.48 (s, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 133.7, 133.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 128.4, 126.9, 64.0.

3-Chlorophenethyl Benzoate (3af). The same procedure was used for 3-chlorophenethyl alcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 96.2 mg of the product in 74% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.44 (dd, J = 10.7, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.17 (dd, J = 6.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 139.9, 134.2, 133.0, 130.1, 129.8, 129.5, 129.1, 128.4, 127.1, 126.8, 64.9, 34.9. HRMS-(MALDI DHB) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₂Cl, 261.0677, found 261.0674.

Phenethyl Benzoate (*3ag CAS:* 94-47-3).²⁷ The same procedure was used for phenethyl alcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 80.2 mg of the product in 71% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.05 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 7.62–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.34 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 1H), 4.56 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.11 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5, 137.9, 132.8, 130.2, 129.5, 128.9, 128.5, 128.3, 126.5, 65.4, 35.2.

4-Methoxyphenethyl Benzoate (3ah CAS: 174681-77-7). The same procedure was used for 3-methoxyphenethyl alcohol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 92.1 mg of the product in 72% as a light-yellow oil liquid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.16 (m, 2H), 6.90–6.83 (m, 2H), 4.51 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.03 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H). I NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5., 158.3, 132.8, 130.3, 129.9, 129.5, 128.3, 113.9, 65.7, 55.2, 34.3.

2-(Thiophen-3-yl)ethyl Benzoate (3ai CAS: 198278-19-2). The same procedure was used for thiophene-3-ethanol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 84.7 mg of the product in 73% as a lightyellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08–7.82 (m, 2H), 7.61–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.29 (dd, J = 4.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 7.17–7.07 (m, 1H), 7.04 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.13 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5, 138.1, 132.9, 130.2, 129.5, 128.3, 128.3, 125.7, 121.6, 64.8, 29.7. HRMS (DART Positive) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}O_2S$, 233.0631, found 233.0628.

2-(Thiophen-2-yl)ethyl Benzoate (3aj CAS: 1044504-84-8). The same procedure was used for thiophene-2-ethanol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 81.2 mg of the product in 70% as a light-yellow oil liquid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 7.62–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.45 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 7.18

(dd, J = 5.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.87 (m, 2H), 4.56 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 140.0, 133.0, 130.1, 129.6, 128.4, 126.9, 125.6, 124.1, 65.2, 29.4.

Ethyl Benzoate (3ak CAS: 93-89-0). The same procedure was used for ethanol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 15 h. The reaction gave 53.2 mg of the product in 71% as a colorless oil. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10–7.95 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.36 (m, 2H), 4.38 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.6, 132.8, 130.5, 129.5, 128.3, 60.9, 14.3.

Phenyl Benzoate (*3al CAS*: 93-99-2).³² The same procedure was used for phenol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 15 h. The reaction gave 62.4 mg of the product in 62% as a white liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 7.67–7.62 (m, 1H), 7.54–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.28 (dd, J = 10.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.19 (m, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.2, 151.0, 133.6, 130.2, 129.6, 129.5, 128.6, 125.9, 121.7.

4-Bromophenyl Benzoate (3am CAS: 1523-17-7). ³³ The same procedure was used for 4-bromophenol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 15 h. The reaction gave 90.7 mg of the product in 66% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.68–7.62 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.50 (m, 4H), 7.16–7.07 (m, 2H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.8, 150.0, 133.8, 132.5, 130.2, 129.2, 128.6, 123.5, 119.0.

4-Chlorophenyl Benzoate (3an CAS: 2005-08-5). The same procedure was used for 4-chlorophenol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 15 h. The reaction gave 78.9 mg of the product in 68% as a light-yellow oil. HNMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.24–8.13 (m, 2H), 7.68–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.47 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.12 (m, 2H). HNMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.9, 149.4, 133.8, 131.3, 130.2, 129.5, 129.2, 128.6, 123.1.

Perfluorophenyl Benzoate (3ao CAS: 1548-84-1). The same procedure was used for 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 24 h. The reaction gave 74.8 mg of the product in 51% as a light-yellow oil liquid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.20 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.67 (m, 1H), 7.60–7.52 (m, 2H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.6, 143.0–142.0 (m), 140.7–134.0 (m), 139.2–138.7 (m), 137.3–136.6 (m), 134.7, 130.7, 128.9, 127.0.

(1R,2S,4S)-2-Isopropyl-4-methylcyclohexyl Benzoate (3ap). The same procedure was used for (±)-menthol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 24 h. The reaction gave 92.3 mg of the product in 71% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.37 (m, 2H), 4.94 (m, 1H), 2.17–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.19–1.07 (m, 2H), 0.93 (dd, J = 6.8, 4.9 Hz, 7H), 0.80 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1, 132.6, 130.8, 129.5, 128.3, 74.8, 47.3, 41, 34.3, 31.4, 26.5, 23.6, 22.0, 20.7, 16.5. HRMS(EI) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₂₅O₂, 261.1849, found 261.1847.

2-((15,5R)-6,6-Dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-en-3-yl)ethyl Benzoate (3aq). The same procedure was used for 3-pentanol. The resulting solution was stirred at 135 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 28 h. The reaction gave 73.3 mg of the product in 67% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.17–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.38 (m, 2H), 5.41–5.34 (m, 1H), 4.40–4.27 (m, 2H), 2.46–2.40 (m, 2H), 2.39–2.35 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.16 (m, 3H), 2.13 (td, J = 5.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.11–2.07 (m, 1H), 1.27 (s, 3H), 0.84 (s, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.5, 144.2, 132.8, 130.4, 129.5, 128.3, 118.9, 63.3, 45.8, 40.7, 38.0, 36.1, 31.7, 31.4, 26.3, 21.1. HRMS(EI) m/z [M + H]⁺ calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}O_{2}$ 271.1693, found 271.1691.

(15,2R,4R)-1,7,7,7-Tetramethyl- $7\lambda^5$ -bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl Benzoate (**3ar** CAS: 122922-36-5). The same procedure was used for (–)-borneol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 24 h. The reaction gave 84.6 mg of the product in 63% as a light-yellow oil liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (dd, J = 7.9, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.41 (m, 2H), 5.22–5.08 (m, 1H), 2.52–2.45 (m, 1H), 2.18–2.12 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.74 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.34–1.29 (m, 1H), 1.13 (dd, J = 13.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 6H). 13 C{1H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8, 132.7, 130.9, 129.5, 128.3, 80.5, 49.1, 47.9, 45.0, 36.9, 28.1, 27.4, 19.7, 18.9, 13.6.

(3S.8S.9S.10R.13R.14S.17R)-10.13-Dimethyl-17-((R)-6-methylheptan-2-yl)-2,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1Hcyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-yl Benzoate (3as CAS: 604-32-0).31 The same procedure was used for cholesterol. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 35 h. The reaction gave 109 mg of the product in 43% yield as a white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07–8.01 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.43 (dd, I = 10.7, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 5.42 (d, I = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.92– 4.80 (m, 1H), 2.47 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.05 - 1.98 (m, 3H), 1.92 (dt, J= 13.3, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.58-1.44 (m, 6H), 1.39-1.33 (m, 3H), 1.29-1.24 (m, 2H), 1.20 (dd, J = 16.0, 4.0Hz, 2H), 1.15-1.09 (m, 4H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.04-0.99 (m, 3H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (dd, J = 6.6, 2.2 Hz, 6H), 0.69 (s, 3H). 13 C $\{1H\}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.0, 139.6, 132.7, 130.8, 129.5, 128.2, 122.8, 74.6, 56.7, 56.1, 50.0, 42.3, 39.7, 39.5, 38.2, 37.0, 36.6, 36.2, 35.8, 31.9, 31.9, 28.2, 28.0, 27.9, 24.3, 23.8, 22.8, 22.6, 21.0, 19.4, 18.7, 11.9.

Methyl Benzoate (3at CAS: 93-58-3).³⁷ The same procedure was used fortrimethyl borate. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 62 mg of the product in 91% as a colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.58–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.41 (m, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.1, 132.9, 130.1, 129.6, 128.3, 52.1.

Methyl Benzoate (3' at CAS: 93-58-3).³⁷ The same procedure was used for trimethyl borate. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 56 mg of the product in 89% as a liquid.¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07–7.97 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.43 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 132.8, 130.1, 129.5, 128.3, 52.0.

Propyl Benzoate (*3au* CAS: 2315-68-6). The same procedure was used tripropyl borate. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 73 mg of the product in 90% as a colorless liquid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.28–7.89 (m, 1H), 7.61–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.39 (m, 1H), 4.29 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.90–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.04 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H). 13C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.70, 132.79, 130.53, 129.53, 128.32, 66.54, 22.13, 10.54.

Methyl 4-Methylbenzoate (*3lt CAS:* 99-75-2).³⁸ The same procedure was used 2-(p-tolyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 67 mg of the product in 89% as a white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.2, 143.5, 129.6, 129.1, 127.5, 51.9, 21.6.

Methyl 4-Methylbenzoate (3'It CAS: 99-75-2). The same procedure was used 2-(p-tolyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 62 mg of the product in 82% as a white solid. HNMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.96–7.90 (m, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.2, 143.5, 129.6, 129.0, 127.4, 51.9, 21.6.

Methyl 4-Chlorobenzoate (3 ft CAS: 1126-46-1). The same procedure was used 2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 62 mg of the product in 76% as a white solid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00–7.94 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.37 (m, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 139.3, 130.9, 128.7, 128.6, 52.2.

Methyl 4-Chlorobenzoate (3'ft CAS: 1126-46-1). The same procedure was used 2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 62 mg of the product in 76% as a white

solid. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02–7.91 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.36 (m, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{1\text{H}\}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2, 139.3, 130.9, 128.7, 128.5, 52.2.

Methyl 4-Nitrobenzoate (3ot CAS: 619-50-1). The same procedure was used 2-(4-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 82 mg of the product in 90% as a white solid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.33–8.15 (m, 4H), 3.97 (s, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8.

Methyl 4-Nitrobenzoate (**3'ot** CAS: 619-50-1). The same procedure was used 2-(4-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 71 mg of the product in 78% as a white solid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.35–8.24 (m, 2H), 8.20 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8.

Methyl 4-Nitrobenzoate (3"ot CAS: 619-50-1). The same procedure was used 2-(4-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 76 mg of the product in 84% as a white solid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.31–8.25 (m, 2H), 8.22–8.17 (m, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8.

Methyl 3-Nitrobenzoate (3nt CAS: 618-95-1). The same procedure was used 2-(3-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O_2 (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 67 mg of the product in 74% yield as a white solid. H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.43–8.33 (m, 2H), 7.64 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3H). 13 C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8.

NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8. *Methyl 3-Nitrobenzoate (3'nt CAS: 618-95-1).*⁴⁰ The same procedure was used 2-(3-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 66 mg of the product in 72% yield as a white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.43–8.33 (m, 2H), 7.64 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8.

Methyl 3-Nitrobenzoate (3"nt CAS: 618-95-1). ^{9C} The same procedure was used 2-(3-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 73 mg of the product in 80% yield as a white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.43–8.33 (m, 2H), 7.64 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.1, 150.5, 135.5, 130.7, 123.5, 52.8.

Methyl 4-Methoxybenzoate (3'tt CAS: 121-98-2).³⁹ The same procedure was used 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetonitrile. The resulting solution was stirred at 130 °C under O₂ (monitored by TLC and GC) for 9 h. The reaction gave 73 mg of the product in 88% yield as white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H). ¹³C{1H} NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8, 163.3, 131.5, 122.6, 113.5, 55.4, 51.8.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01594.

Supplementary condition screens, preliminary mechanistic studies and NMR spectra of all products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: qsong@hqu.edu.cn. Fax: 86-592-6162990

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National NaturalScience Foundation of China (21202049), the Recruitment Program of Global Experts (1000 Talents Plan), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2016J01064), Fujian Hundred Talents Plan, and Program of Innovative Research Team of Huaqiao University (Z14X0047) are gratefully acknowledged. We also thank Instrumental Analysis Center of Huaqiao University for analysis support.

REFERENCES

- (1) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 300. (b) Souillart, L.; Cramer, N. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9410.
- (2) (a) Acosta-Ramírez, A.; Flores-Gaspar, A.; Muñoz-Hernández, M.; Arévalo, A.; Jones, W. D.; García, J. J. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1712. (b) Nakao, Y.; Oda, S.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13904. (c) McCormick, M. M.; Duong, H. A.; Zuo, G.; Louie, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5030. (d) Miller, J. A.; Dankwardt, J. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 1907.
- (3) (a) Nishihara, Y.; Inoue, Y.; Itazaki, M.; Takagi, K. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 2639. (b) Kobayashi, Y.; Kamisaki, H.; Yanada, R.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 2711. (c) Yasui, Y.; Kamisaki, H.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Lett. **2008**, 10, 3303.
- (4) (a) Tobisu, M.; Kita, Y.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 15982. (b) Tobisu, M.; Nakamura, R.; Kita, Y.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 3174. (c) Taw, F. L.; Mueller, A. H.; Bergman, R. G.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 9808.
- (5) Jin, J.; Wen, Q.; Lu, P.; Wang, Y. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 9933.
- (6) (a) Nakao, Y.; Yukawa, T.; Hirata, Y.; Oda, S.; Satoh, J.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 7116. (b) Hirata, Y.; Yukawa, T.; Kashihara, N.; Nakao, Y.; Hiyama, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 10964
- (7) (a) Taw, F. L.; White, P. S.; Bergman, R. G.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4192. (b) Taw, F. L.; Mueller, A. H.; Bergman, R. G.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9808. (c) Nakazawa, H.; Kawasaki, T.; Miyoshi, K.; Suresh, C. H.; Koga, N. Organometallics 2004, 23, 117. (d) Nakazawa, H.; Kamata, K.; Itazaki, M. Chem. Commun. 2005, 31, 4004. (e) Dahy, A. A.; Koga, N.; Nakazawa, H. Organometallics 2012, 31, 3995.
- (8) (a) Bolm, C.; Legros, J.; Le Paih, J.; Zani, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217. (b) Gopalaiah, K. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 3248. (c) Bauer, I.; Knölker, H.-J. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3170. (d) Su, B.; Cao, Z.-C; Shi, Z.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 886. (e) Jiang, X.; Zhang, J.; Ma, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8344.
- (9) (a) Zhou, Y. X.; Chen, Y. Z.; Cao, L.; Lu, J.; Jiang, H. L. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 8292. (b) Verma, S.; Baig, R. B. N.; Han, C.; Nadagouda, M. N.; Varma, R. S. Green Chem. 2016, 18, 251. (c) Padala, A. K.; Saikam, V.; Ali, A.; Ahmed, Q. N. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 9388.
- (10) (a) Ueda, T.; Konishi, H.; Manabe, K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3100. (b) Markovič, M.; Lopatka, P.; Koóš, P.; Gracza, T. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5618. (c) Ogawa, D.; Hyodo, K.; Suetsugu, M.; Li, J.; Inoue, Y.; Fujisawa, M.; Iwasaki, M.; Takagi, K.; Nishihara, Y. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 2565.
- (11) Yu, M.; Wen, W.; Wang, Z. Synth. Commun. 2006, 36, 2851.
- (12) Wang, Z.; Kang, J.; Yu, M. J. Chem. Res. 2007, 2007, 323.
- (13) (a) Ding, W.; Song, Q. Org. Chem. Front. 2016, 3, 14. (b) Zhao, Y.; Song, Q. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 13272. (c) Ding, W.; Song, Q. Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 765. (d) Xu, X.; Ding, W.; Lin, Y.; Song, Q. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 516.
- (14) Huang, X.; Li, X.; Zou, M.; Song, S.; Tang, C.; Yuan, Y.; Jiao, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14858.
- (15) Kita, Y.; Nishii, Y.; Higuchi, T.; Mashima, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5723.
- (16) Wang, Y.-Y.; Li, W.; Xu, C.-D.; Dai, L.-Y. Chin. J. Chem. 2007, 25, 68.

- (17) Georgsson, J.; Hallberg, A.; Larhed, M. J. Comb. Chem. 2003, 5, 350.
- (18) Kita, Y.; Nishii, Y.; Onoue, A.; Mashima, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3391.
- (19) Gowrisankar, S.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, 50, 5139.
- (20) Liu, H.; Dong, C.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, P.; Jiang, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12570.
- (21) Lal, S.; Snape, T. J. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 1609.
- (22) Zhu, Y.; Wei, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 4503.
- (23) Pawle, R. H.; Eastman, V.; Thomas, S. W. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 14041.
- (24) Iwasaki, T.; Maegawa, Y.; Hayashi, Y.; Ohshima, T.; Mashima, K. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5147.
- (25) Maki, B. E.; Chan, A.; Phillips, E. M.; Scheidt, K. A. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3102.
- (26) Doi, R.; Kikushima, K.; Ohashi, M.; Ogoshi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3276.
- (27) Zhang, C.; Feng, P.; Jiao, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15257.
- (28) Rendina, V. L.; Kingsbury, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1181.
- (29) Iranpoor, N.; Firouzabadi, H.; Khalili, D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4436.
- (30) Park, H.-S.; Kim, D.-S.; Jun, C.-H. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 397.
- (31) Bhattacharjya, A.; Klumphu, P.; Lipshutz, B. H. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 1122.
- (32) Jakob, U.; Mundinger, S.; Bannwarth, W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 6963.
- (33) Ruso, J. S.; Rajendiran, N.; Kumaran, R. S. Tetrahedron Lett. **2014**, 55, 2345.
- (34) Massah, A. R.; Toghyani, M.; Najafabadi, B. H. J. Chem. Res. 2012, 36, 603.
- (35) Bao, Y.-S.; Baiyin, M.; Agula, B.; Jia, M.; Zhaorigetu, B. J. Org. Chem. **2014**, 79, 6715.
- (36) Chantarasriwong, O.; Jang, D. O.; Chavasiri, W. Synth. Commun. **2008**, 38, 2845.
- (37) Qiao, Z.; Jiang, X. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 1550.
- (38) Verma, S.; Baig, R. B. N.; Han, C. Green Chem. 2016, 18, 251.
- (39) Jia, J.; Jiang, Q.; Zhao, A.; Xu, B.; Liu, Q.; Luo, W.-P.; Guo, C.-C. Synthesis **2016**, 48, 421.
- (40) Padala, A. K.; Saikam, V.; Ali, A.; Ahmed, Q. N. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 9388.